

Virtually abelian Kähler and projective groups

Oliver Baues*

Institut für Algebra und Geometrie
Universität Karlsruhe
D-76128 Karlsruhe

Johannes Riesterer†

Institut für Algebra und Geometrie
Universität Karlsruhe
D-76128 Karlsruhe

October 26, 2009

Abstract

We characterise the virtually abelian groups which are fundamental groups of compact Kähler manifolds and of smooth projective varieties. We show that a virtually abelian group is Kähler if and only if it is projective. In particular, this allows to describe the Kähler condition for such groups in terms of integral symplectic representations.

1 Introduction

A connection between Kähler geometry and topology is made by the topic of Kähler groups. A group is called Kähler, if it is isomorphic to the fundamental group of a compact Kähler manifold. Note that Kähler groups are finitely generated and even finitely presented, since the associated manifold is compact by assumption. Not all finitely presented groups are Kähler groups. Well known restrictions stem from Hodge-theory, see [2] for various results.

More restrictively, a compact complex manifold is called projective if it embeds holomorphically as a subvariety of complex projective space. A projective manifold is also a Kähler manifold, and its fundamental group is called a projective Kähler group. It is widely believed that the class of Kähler and projective groups coincide. An important remark is due to J.P. Serre [18, prop. 15]:

Theorem. *Every finite group G is the fundamental group of a smooth projective variety X_G .*

*baues@mathematik.uni-karlsruhe.de

†riesterer@mathematik.uni-karlsruhe.de

We would like to understand how the class of Kähler groups behaves with respect to finite extension of groups. Since not much seems to be known about this particular question, the aim of this paper is to study the situation in a specific and accessible context: A group is called virtually abelian if it is an extension of an abelian group by a finite group. We shall examine the following question: *Which virtually abelian groups are Kähler and which are projective?*

1.1 Kähler and projective groups

Let Γ be a virtually abelian group. Since we also assume Γ to be finitely generated, there is an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}^n \longrightarrow \Gamma \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow 1, \quad (1)$$

where G is a finite group. Via conjugation in Γ , the extension defines the characteristic representation $\mu : G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$.

Recall that a complex structure on $\mathbb{R}^n$ is a linear map $J : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ with $J^2 = -\mathrm{id}$. It is called μ -invariant, for a representation $\mu : G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$, if $\mu(g)J = J\mu(g)$, for all $g \in G$. We shall say that the virtually abelian group Γ admits a complex structure, if there exists a complex structure on $\mathbb{R}^n$, which is invariant by the characteristic representation μ .

Theorem 1.1. *The group Γ is Kähler if and only if it admits a complex structure.*

Remark, as follows from elementary Hodge theory, if the torsionfree abelian group $\mathbb{Z}^n$ is Kähler then $n = 2k$ is even. For a Kähler group Γ , n is thus even in the above exact sequence. Theorem 1.1 gives a more precise condition. It also shows that the Kähler condition for Γ depends only on the characteristic representation μ . In particular, it does not depend on the characteristic cohomology class of the extension (1).

We proceed now to provide a characterization of virtually abelian projective groups. For this, recall that a two-form $\omega \in \wedge^2(\mathbb{R}^n)^*$ is called a polarisation for J (with respect to the lattice $\mathbb{Z}^n$) if the following conditions are satisfied:

1. $\omega(J\cdot, J\cdot) = \omega(\cdot, \cdot)$,
2. $g = \omega(J\cdot, \cdot)$ is positive definite,
3. $\omega(\mathbb{Z}^n, \mathbb{Z}^n) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$.

Accordingly, if there exists a polarisation then the complex structure J is called polarisable.

Theorem 1.2. *The group Γ is projective Kähler if and only if it admits a polarisable complex structure.*

We call an integral representation symplectic if it preserves a non-degenerate alternating two-form $\omega \in \wedge^2(\mathbb{Z}^n)^*$. We can add the following characterisation of the projectivity condition for Γ :

Theorem 1.3. *The following conditions are equivalent:*

1. Γ admits a polarisable complex structure.
2. The characteristic representation μ for Γ is $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ -conjugate to an integral symplectic representation.

Note that condition 2. does not refer to the existence of a complex structure for Γ . The following consequence (see Theorem 1.9) is implied:

Theorem 1.4. *The group Γ is Kähler if and only if it is projective Kähler.*

In particular, we obtain a characterisation of the Kähler condition in terms of integral symplectic representations.

Corollary 1.5. *The group Γ is Kähler if and only if the characteristic representation μ is conjugate to an integral symplectic representation.*

Fields of definition We may further refine the projectivity condition for Γ , by taking into account the possible fields of definition for projective varieties with fundamental group Γ . In this direction, we remark:

Theorem 1.6. *If the virtually abelian group Γ is Kähler then there exists a smooth algebraic subvariety X of $P^N\mathbb{C}$, which is defined over an algebraic number field and has fundamental group Γ .*

1.1.1 Flat and aspherical Kähler groups

Flat Kähler manifolds are constructed as quotients $M = \mathbb{C}^k/\Gamma$, where $\Gamma \leq \mathbb{C}^k \rtimes U(k)$ is a discrete and torsionfree subgroup of the isometry group of $\mathbb{C}^k$. By a famous theorem of Bieberbach (see, for example, [26]), $\Lambda = \Gamma \cap \mathbb{C}^k$ is a lattice in $\mathbb{C}^k$ and of finite index in Γ . In particular, Γ is a virtually abelian group. Conversely, if Γ is a torsionfree virtually abelian Kähler group, it may as well be represented as the fundamental group of a flat Kähler manifold. In fact, we obtain:

Corollary 1.7. *Let Γ be torsionfree. The following conditions are equivalent:*

1. Γ is a torsionfree Kähler group.
2. Γ is the fundamental group of a flat Kähler manifold.
3. Γ is the fundamental group of a flat Kähler manifold which is projective.

The following concept is closely related. Recall that a manifold is called aspherical if its universal covering space is contractible. Henceforth, a Kähler group is called *aspherical* if it is the fundamental group of a compact aspherical Kähler manifold. Combining with results from [3], we can state:

Corollary 1.8. *The following conditions are equivalent:*

1. Γ is an aspherical Kähler group.
2. Γ is a Kähler group which is torsionfree.

1.2 Deformation of complex representations to integral symplectic representations

The above results translate into the following representation theoretic result:

Theorem 1.9. *Let G be a finite group and V a finite-dimensional $\mathbb{Q}[G]$ -module. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

1. $V \otimes \mathbb{R}$ has a G -invariant complex structure J .
2. V is isomorphic to a symplectic $\mathbb{Q}[G]$ -module W .
3. There exists a G -invariant complex structure J' on $V \otimes \mathbb{R}$, which is polarisable.

(W is called symplectic if it admits a non-degenerate G -invariant two-form.)

The method of our proof allows to show slightly more. Let $\mathfrak{D}(G)$ denote the set of G -invariant complex structures on $V \otimes \mathbb{R}$. Then the implication from 1. to 3. in Theorem 1.9 will be implied by

Theorem 1.10. *Let G be a finite group and V a finite-dimensional $\mathbb{Q}[G]$ -module such that $V \otimes \mathbb{R}$ has a G -invariant complex structure J . Then J may be continuously deformed in $\mathfrak{D}(G)$ to a G -invariant complex structure J' , which is polarisable. Moreover, the subset $\mathfrak{D}(G)_{\text{p}}$ of polarisable elements in $\mathfrak{D}(G)$ is dense in $\mathfrak{D}(G)$.*

1.2.1 Deformation of complex structures on torus quotients

A complex torus $T = \mathbb{C}^k/\Lambda$ which is projective is an abelian variety. By the usual dictionary between complex tori (resp. abelian varieties) and complex structures (resp. polarisable complex structures), the previous results can be translated into statements about complex tori with a holomorphic G -action:

Let G be a finite group of automorphisms of a complex torus T , which acts freely on T . Let $X_G = T/G$ be the quotient complex manifold. Then we may view $\mathfrak{D}(G)$ as the deformation space of complex structures for X_G . Thus, Theorem 1.10 implies

Proposition 1.11. *The complex structure on X_G may be continuously deformed to a projective structure.*

We call a complex manifold *deformation rigid* if it does not admit any non-trivial continuous deformation of its complex structure. The following application concerning Kähler rigidity was suggested to us by F. Catanese:

Corollary 1.12. *Let X be a complex variety which is a finite quotient of a complex torus. If X_G is deformation rigid then X_G is a quotient of an abelian variety, in particular, X_G is projective.*

1.3 Notes

Theorem 1.1 appears as the main result of the diploma thesis [17]. The analogue of Theorem 1.1 concerning flat Kähler manifolds, and the equivalence of 2) and 3) in Corollary 1.7 are the main theorems in Johnson's paper [9].

Serre [19] constructed certain examples of subvarieties X of complex projective space, which are defined over algebraic number fields, and whose fundamental groups are semi-direct extensions of $\mathbb{Z}^n$ by cyclic groups. Our Theorem 1.6 extends this construction without the restriction on the fundamental group. In [19], the construction is used to exhibit Galois-conjugate examples X and X' of this type with non-isomorphic fundamental group.

The equivalence of 1. and 3. in Theorem 1.9 is also contained in [9]. The proof is based on a different approach, using the theory of complex multiplication. However, we will pick up this idea in the proof of Theorem 1.6.

In [16] irreducible symmetry groups of complex tori are investigated from the point of view of complex reflection groups. In particular, examples of non-polarisable tori with a non-trivial symmetry group are considered.

By Corollary 1.5, virtually abelian Kähler groups of rank $n = 2k$ can be classified in principle by the determination of the finite subgroups of $\mathrm{Sp}(2k, \mathbb{Z})$. For $k = 1$, this list comprises the finite cyclic groups of order 2,3,4,6 (see [27], for example). Fujiki [7] classifies the finite automorphism groups of abelian surfaces, the three dimensional case is covered in [4].

1.4 Structure of the paper

The proofs of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 can be found in sections 2 and 3, see Proposition 2.4 and Proposition 3.2. In section 4 we prove Theorem 1.9 (see in particular §4.2.1) and Theorem 1.10. The latter imply Theorem 1.3 and Theorem 1.4. Section 5 is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.6.

Acknowledgements The first named author learned several years ago from A. Szczepański about Johnson's and Rees' results on flat Kähler and projective manifolds. Fritz Grunewald informed us that he has characterised virtually abelian fundamental groups of projective algebraic varieties in terms of integral symplectic representations, this question playing a role in joint work with Ingrid Bauer and Fabrizio Catanese. We wish to thank all three of them for various discussions. Part of this paper was written up during a stay of the first named author at the research activity 'Groups in algebraic geometry', Centro Ennio di Giorgi, Pisa, 2008. He wishes to thank the organisers for the hospitality and support. Furthermore we wish to thank Eduard Loojenga for a helpful comment, as well as Vicente Cortés, Evelina Viada and Enrico Leuzinger for conversations regarding the context of this paper. We thank Stefan Kühnlein for commenting on a draft of section 5.

2 The Picard variety and induced Hodge structure on the fundamental group

In this section, we prove that every virtually abelian Kähler group Γ admits an invariant complex structure J . Furthermore we show, if Γ is projective then it admits a polarised complex structure J' .

Conventions concerning cohomology groups Let X be a space, and A an abelian group. Then $H_{sing}^j(X, A)$ denotes the singular cohomology groups with coefficients in A . Moreover, if X is a manifold and A is a subgroup of $\mathbb{C}$, we let $H^j(X, A)$ denote the *image* of $H_{sing}^j(X, A)$ in the de Rham cohomology group $H^j(X, \mathbb{C}) := H_{DR}^j(X, \mathbb{C})$ under the de Rham isomorphism $H_{sing}^j(X, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow H_{DR}^j(X, \mathbb{C})$. Thus, in particular, $H^n(X, \mathbb{Z})$ denotes the full lattice in $H^n(X, \mathbb{R})$, which is the image of $H^n(X, \mathbb{Z})_{sing}$ under the coefficient homomorphism. For any continuous (respectively smooth) map $f : X \rightarrow X'$, there is a well defined induced map on cohomology groups $f^* : H^j(X', \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H^j(X, \mathbb{R})$, such that $f^*(H^j(X', A)) \subset H^j(X, A)$.

2.1 Review of Picard varieties

We start by reviewing the definition of Picard varieties. Let X be a Kähler manifold and $H^1(X, \mathbb{C}) = H^{1,0}(X) \oplus H^{0,1}(X)$ the Hodge decomposition. Then there is an associated isomorphism of real vector spaces

$$\Re : H^{0,1}(X) \rightarrow H^1(X, \mathbb{R}),$$

$$\Re \omega = \frac{\omega + \bar{\omega}}{2}, \quad \omega \in H^{0,1}(X).$$

Thus $J := \Re I \Re^{-1}$ is a complex structure on $H^1(X, \mathbb{R})$, where I denotes multiplication with i on the complex vector space $H^{0,1}(X)$. The complex torus

$$Pic^0(X) := (H^1(X, \mathbb{R})/H^1(X, \mathbb{Z}), J),$$

is called the Picard variety of X . By construction, $Pic^0(X)$ is biholomorphic to $H^{0,1}(X)/H^1(X, \mathbb{Z})$, which yields an equivalent definition. For details, see [5, 11.11] or [24, 7.2.2].

Lemma 2.1. *Pic^0 is functorial, that is, if $f : X \rightarrow X'$ is a holomorphic map between Kähler manifolds, then f induces a holomorphic map $f^* : Pic^0(X') \rightarrow Pic^0(X)$.*

Proof. Let X, X' be Kähler manifolds and $f : X \rightarrow X'$ a holomorphic map. There is an induced map $f^* : H^1(X', \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H^1(X, \mathbb{R})$ on the cohomology, such that $f^*(H^n(X, \mathbb{Z})) \subset H^n(X, \mathbb{Z})$. Hence, f^* induces a homomorphism from $Pic^0(X')$ to $Pic^0(X)$.

Now we show, that $f^* : Pic^0(X') \rightarrow Pic^0(X)$ is holomorphic. For this consider the induced complex linear map $f^* : H^1(X', \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow H^1(X, \mathbb{C})$, given by

$f^*(\omega \otimes z) := f^*\omega \otimes z$. Since f is holomorphic, it preserves the Hodge decomposition (see [25]), that is,

$$f^*(H^{1,0}(X')) \subset H^{1,0}(X) , \quad f^*(H^{0,1}(X')) \subset H^{0,1}(X) .$$

Let J be the complex structure of $Pic^0(X)$ and J' of $Pic^0(X')$ respectively. Since $f^* : H^1(X', \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow H^1(X, \mathbb{C})$ preserves the real structures, $\Re f^* = f^* \Re$. We deduce, that $f^* J' = J f^*$. Thus f^* is holomorphic. $\square$

An abelian variety is a complex torus $\mathbb{C}^k / \Lambda$, which is also a projective manifold. By the criterion of Riemann [14, p.35], this is the case, if and only if there exists a positive definite hermitian form h on $\mathbb{C}^k$ such that its imaginary part $\omega := \Im h$ is integral on $\Lambda \times \Lambda$. The form ω is called a polarisation for Λ .

Now let X be a projective manifold. Then there exists a polarisation ω for X . This means, ω is a Kähler form, such that its cohomology class $[\omega]$ is integral. Then the Hodge-Riemann pairing

$$h(\alpha, \beta) = -2i \int_X \omega^{n-1} \wedge \alpha \wedge \bar{\beta} , \quad \alpha, \beta \in H^{0,1}(X) ,$$

defines a positive definite hermitian form on $H^{0,1}(X)$. Moreover, its imaginary part, $\omega = \Im h$ is integral on $H^1(X, \mathbb{Z})$. See [24, 7.2.2] for discussion. In particular, it follows

Lemma 2.2. *Let X be a projective manifold. Then $Pic^0(X)$ is an abelian variety.*

2.2 Induced invariant Hodge structures on Γ

Let Γ be a virtually abelian Kähler group, and let X be a compact Kähler manifold with $\pi_1(X) = \Gamma$. Let $\tilde{X}$ be the universal covering manifold of X . Associated to the exact sequence (1), with characteristic homomorphism $\mu : G \rightarrow GL_n(\mathbb{Z})$, we consider the holomorphic covering

$$p : X_1 := \tilde{X} / \mathbb{Z}^n \longrightarrow X .$$

Since this is a regular covering, $G = \Gamma / \mathbb{Z}^n$ identifies with the group of covering transformations of p . In particular, G has an induced holomorphic action on the Picard variety $Pic^0(X_1)$.

Group cohomology If Γ is a group, and A is an abelian group, we let $H^j(\Gamma, A)$ denote the j -th cohomology group of Γ with coefficients in A . In particular, $H^1(\Gamma, A) = \text{Hom}(\Gamma, A)$. If $\phi : \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma'$ is a homomorphism of groups there is a natural induced homomorphism of cohomology groups $\phi^* : H^j(\Gamma', A) \rightarrow H^j(\Gamma, A)$. (See [6], for a general reference.) We can formulate now:

Lemma 2.3. *There exists a natural isomorphism*

$$Pic^0(X_1) \cong H^1(\mathbb{Z}^n, \mathbb{R}) / H^1(\mathbb{Z}^n, \mathbb{Z})$$

such that, for all $g \in G$, the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Pic^0(X_1) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & H^1(\mathbb{Z}^n, \mathbb{R})/H^1(\mathbb{Z}^n, \mathbb{Z}) \\ \downarrow g^* & & \downarrow \mu(g)^* \\ Pic^0(X_1) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & H^1(\mathbb{Z}^n, \mathbb{R})/H^1(\mathbb{Z}^n, \mathbb{Z}) \end{array} \quad (2)$$

commutes.

Before proving the Lemma, we embark on the following

Topological digression For the following, see [12, Prop 11.4, Thm. 11.5]. Let X be a (reasonable) topological space, and Γ a group which acts properly discontinuously on X . If X is acyclic up to dimension k , that is,

$$H_j(X) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}, & j = 0 \\ 0, & k \geq j \geq 1 \end{cases},$$

then, for all $k \geq j \geq 1$, there exist natural isomorphisms

$$H_{sing}^j(X/\Gamma, A) \cong H^j(\Gamma, A)$$

such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_{sing}^j(X/\Gamma, A) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & H^j(\Gamma, A) \\ \downarrow f^* & & \downarrow \phi^* \\ H_{sing}^j(X/\Gamma, A) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & H^j(\Gamma, A) \end{array} \quad (3)$$

commutes, for all mappings $f : X \rightarrow X$, and homomorphisms $\phi : \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$ with

$$f(\gamma x) = (\phi\gamma)fx, \quad \forall \gamma \in \Gamma, x \in X.$$

Now we give the proof of the above Lemma 2.3.

Proof. Let $g \in G$ be a covering transformation of $p : X_1 \rightarrow X$, which is represented by $\gamma \in \Gamma$, acting on $\tilde{X}$, such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{X} & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & \tilde{X} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X_1 & \xrightarrow{g} & X_1 \end{array} \quad (4)$$

is commutative. Then, for all $a \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, $x \in \tilde{X}$, the relation

$$\gamma ax = \gamma a \gamma^{-1} \gamma x = (\gamma a \gamma^{-1}) \gamma x = \mu(g)(a) \gamma x$$

holds. Since $\tilde{X}$ is simply connected, by the theorem of Hurewicz, $H_1(\tilde{M}) = 0$, [13].) Applying (3), it follows that there exists a natural isomorphism

$$H_{sing}^1(X_1, A) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{Z}^n, A),$$

such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_{sing}^1(X_1, A) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & H^1(\mathbb{Z}^n, A) \\ \downarrow g^* & & \downarrow \mu(g)^* \\ H_{sing}^1(X_1, A) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & H^1(\mathbb{Z}^n, A) \end{array} \quad (5)$$

is commutative. Since the change of coefficients is natural, the stated commutative diagram (2) is induced by composing with the projection $H^{0,1}(X) \rightarrow H^1(X, \mathbb{R})$, and the de Rham isomorphism $H^1(X, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H_{sing}^1(X, \mathbb{R})$. $\square$

Proposition 2.4. *Let Γ be a virtually abelian Kähler group. Then Γ admits a complex structure J . If Γ is projective then it also admits a polarisable complex structure J' .*

Proof. Let X be Kähler with $\pi_1(X) = \Gamma$. Note that $H^1(\mathbb{Z}^n, \mathbb{R})$ is isomorphic to $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}^n, \mathbb{R})$, which is just the dual of the module $\mathbb{Z}^n \otimes \mathbb{R}$. Therefore, according to Lemma 2.3, the isomorphism $H^1(X, \mathbb{R})^* \cong (\mathbb{Z}^n \otimes \mathbb{R})$, is a G -module isomorphism, which is defined over $\mathbb{Q}$. Since G acts by holomorphic transformations on $\text{Pic}^0(X_1)$, the action of G on $H^1(X, \mathbb{R})$ admits an invariant complex structure. In particular, by duality, $\mathbb{Z}^n \otimes \mathbb{R}$ attains a G -invariant complex structure J . It thus defines a complex structure for Γ . Since all identifications are defined over $\mathbb{Q}$, the complex structure J is also polarisable if X is projective. $\square$

3 Construction of certain Kähler and projective manifolds

Let Γ be a virtually abelian group, which admits an invariant complex structure J . We construct compact Kähler manifolds with fundamental group Γ .

Lemma 3.1. *There exists a homomorphism $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^k \rtimes U(k)$, which embeds the subgroup $\mathbb{Z}^n$ as a lattice $\Lambda = \rho(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ into $\mathbb{C}^k$. If, in addition, J is polarisable then there exists a polarisation for the lattice Λ .*

Proof. We form the pushout $\bar{\Gamma}$ of (1), with respect to the inclusion $\iota : \mathbb{Z}^n \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$. This gives a homomorphism of exact sequences:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}^n & \longrightarrow & \Gamma & \longrightarrow & G \longrightarrow 1 \\ & & \downarrow \iota & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{id}_G \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{R}^n & \longrightarrow & \bar{\Gamma} & \longrightarrow & G \longrightarrow 1 \end{array}, \quad (6)$$

(Explicitly, the construction of $\bar{\Gamma}$ is as follows: Since Γ is an extension of $\mathbb{Z}^n$ by G , it is isomorphic to a group of the form

$$(\mathbb{Z}^{2k} \times G, \star); \quad (z_1, g_1) \star (z_2, g_2) = (z_1 + \mu(g_1)z_2 + \eta(g_1, g_2), g_1 g_2),$$

with $\eta \in Z_\mu^2(G, \mathbb{Z}^{2k})$ a two-cocycle with respect to μ . Then $\bar{\Gamma}$ is constructed as

$$\bar{\Gamma} := (\mathbb{R}^{2k} \times G, \star); \quad (u_1, g_1) \star (u_2, g_2) = (u_1 + \mu(g_1)u_2 + \iota \eta(g_1, g_2), g_1 g_2).$$

Now $H_\mu^2(G, \mathbb{C}^k) = 0$, since G is finite (see [6, Chapter III, §10]). Therefore, the second exact sequence splits, and there exists a homomorphism

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{R}^n & \longrightarrow & \bar{\Gamma} & \longrightarrow & G \longrightarrow 1 \\ & & \downarrow \text{id}_{\mathbb{R}^n} & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{id}_G \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{R}^n & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{R}^n \rtimes_\mu G & \longrightarrow & G \longrightarrow 1 \end{array} \quad (7)$$

The semi-direct product $\mathbb{R}^n \rtimes_\mu G$ maps naturally into $\mathbb{R}^n \rtimes \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$, which, via the above, constructs a homomorphism $f : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \rtimes \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$. Since $\mu(G)$ has an invariant complex structure, there exists $A \in \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$ such that $\mu^A(G) \leq \text{GL}(k, \mathbb{C})$. Since $\mu^A(G)$ is finite, it admits an invariant hermitian metric, and we may as well assume that $\mu^A(G) \leq \text{U}(k)$. Thus $\rho(g) = Af(g)A^{-1}$ defines the required homomorphism

$$\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^k \rtimes \text{U}(k)$$

and

$$\Lambda = \rho(\mathbb{Z}^n) = A(\mathbb{Z}^n)$$

is a lattice in $\mathbb{C}^k$. Assume furthermore that ω is a polarisation for J . Then

$$A^* \omega(u, v) = \omega(A^{-1}u, A^{-1}v)$$

defines a polarisation for the lattice Λ in $\mathbb{C}^k$. □

Let Y be a compact Kähler manifold with $\pi_1(Y) = G$. Such a manifold exists by [18, Proposition 15], in fact, it may be chosen to be projective. Let $\tilde{Y}$ be the universal covering of Y , and put

$$\tilde{X} := \mathbb{C}^k \times \tilde{Y}.$$

Let $h : \Gamma \rightarrow G$ denote the quotient homomorphism. Since G acts on $\tilde{Y}$ by covering transformations, we can form the diagonal action of Γ on $\tilde{X}$:

$$\gamma \cdot (u, y) = (\rho(\gamma)u, h(\gamma)y).$$

Note that $\rho(\Gamma)$ is a discrete subgroup of $\mathbb{C}^k \rtimes \text{U}(k)$ and acts with compact quotient on $\mathbb{C}^k$. In particular, the action of Γ on $\tilde{X}$ is properly discontinuous. Moreover, $\ker \rho$ is a finite subgroup of Γ , which projects isomorphically onto $\ker \mu$.

Therefore the action of Γ on $\tilde{X}$ is also free, since G acts freely on $\tilde{Y}$. Since Γ acts by holomorphic transformations on $\tilde{X}$, the space of orbits

$$X := (\mathbb{C}^k \times \tilde{Y})/\Gamma$$

is a compact complex manifold. Moreover, X is also Kähler, since Γ acts by isometries of the product metric on $\tilde{X}$. Put $T = \mathbb{C}^k/\Lambda$. Then there is a sequence of holomorphic coverings

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{X} = \mathbb{C}^k \times \tilde{Y} & , & (8) \\ \downarrow & & \\ T \times \tilde{Y} & & \\ \downarrow & & \\ X = (T \times \tilde{Y})/G & & \end{array}$$

and also a locally trivial holomorphic fibering

$$T \longrightarrow X \longrightarrow Y \quad .$$

We have

Proposition 3.2. *The complex manifold X is compact Kähler, and $\pi_1(X) = \Gamma$. If furthermore J admits a polarisation then X is a projective manifold.*

Proof. We already established that X is compact Kähler. If J is polarised, then by Lemma 3.1, T is an abelian variety. By [18, Proposition 15], we may choose $\tilde{Y}$ as a projective manifold. Therefore, $T \times \tilde{Y}$ is projective. By the quotient lemma (cf. Lemma 3.3 below), X is projective. $\square$

Remark, if Γ is torsionfree then the homomorphism

$$\Gamma \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^k \rtimes U(k)$$

constructed above is injective, and moreover, Γ acts freely on $\mathbb{C}^k$. Therefore,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T & & (9) \\ \downarrow & & \\ M = \mathbb{C}^k/\Gamma & & \end{array}$$

is a holomorphic covering of flat Kähler manifolds, where $\pi_1(M) = \Gamma$. If J has a polarisation, T is an abelian variety, and thus by Lemma 3.3 the complex manifold $M = T/G$ is projective. This proves Corollary 1.7.

The quotient lemma for Kähler manifolds and projective varieties In the following form the quotient Lemma is an immediate application of Kodaira's projective embedding theorem. (For the algebraic geometry variant, see section 5.1.)

Lemma 3.3. *Let $M, \bar{M}$ be compact complex manifolds and $p : \bar{M} \rightarrow M$ be a finite, holomorphic covering. Then $\bar{M}$ is projective, if and only if M is projective.*

Proof. Assume that $\bar{M}$ is projective. Then there is a Kähler metric such that $[\omega] \in H^2(\bar{M}, \mathbb{Q})$, where ω is the Kähler form. Let $G := \text{Deck}(\bar{M}, p)$ denote the decktransformation group of the covering, which is a finite group. Now put $\bar{\theta} := \sum_{g \in G} g^* \omega$, where $g^* \omega$ denotes the pull back of ω by the action of $g \in G$ on $\bar{M}$. Then $\bar{\theta}$ is a G -invariant two-form on $\bar{M}$, and it is also a Kähler form, since the covering transformations are holomorphic maps. Its cohomology class $[\bar{\theta}] \in H^2(\bar{M}, \mathbb{Q})$ is invariant by G . Let θ be the unique two-form on M which satisfies $p^* \theta = \bar{\theta}$, and put $H^2(\bar{M}, \mathbb{R})^G$ for the G -invariant cohomology classes. Recall (cf. [12, Prop. 11.14]) that the map $p^* : H^2(\bar{M}, \mathbb{R})^G \cong H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$ is an isomorphism. Since this map is also compatible with the rational structures, it follows that $[\theta] \in H^2(M, \mathbb{Q})$. By Kodaira's theorem (cf. [25, Chapter VI]), M is a projective manifold.

For the converse, assume that M is a projective manifold and let $[\theta] \in H^2(M, \mathbb{Q})$ be the Kähler class of a Kähler metric on M . Then $\omega = p^* \theta$ is a Kähler form on $\bar{M}$ with rational Kähler class. It follows that $\bar{M}$ is projective. $\square$

4 Deformation spaces of torus quotients and existence of polarisations

The local deformation space for a complex torus

$$X = T^k$$

is represented by the space of all complex structures

$$\mathfrak{D} = \{J : \mathbb{R}^{2k} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2k} \mid J^2 = -\text{id}\} = \text{GL}(2k, \mathbb{R}) / \text{GL}(k, \mathbb{C}) .$$

(Compare [10, p.408ff] or [21, §2.1] for details.) Now let

$$X_G = X/G$$

be a finite quotient, and $\mu : G \rightarrow \text{GL}(k, \mathbb{C})$ be the holonomy homomorphism associated to the action of G on X (see section 3). Then

$$\mathfrak{D}(G) = \{J \in \mathfrak{D} \mid AJA^{-1} = J, \text{ for all } A \text{ in } \mu(G)\}$$

describes the local deformation space for X_G .

The main result of this section is:

Proposition 4.1. *Let $J \in \mathfrak{D}(G)$. Then there exists a continuous deformation $\bar{J} = (J_t) : I = [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}(G)$, with $J_0 = J$, such that J_1 is polarisable.*

Moreover, we will show in Proposition 4.13 below that the set $\mathfrak{D}(G)_p$ of polarisable complex structures is dense in $\mathfrak{D}(G)$. This proves Theorem 1.10 in the introduction.

We deduce

Corollary 4.2. *Let $X_G = T/G$ be a finite quotient manifold of a complex torus T . Then X_G may be continuously deformed to a projective manifold.*

For the proof of Proposition 4.1, we will study the cone of Kähler forms $\Omega(G)$ which is associated to G and relate it to the deformation space $\mathfrak{D}(G)$.

4.1 Kähler forms and Siegel upper half space

Let $J : \mathbb{R}^{2k} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2k}$ be a complex structure. Recall that a non-degenerate two-form $\omega \in \bigwedge^2(\mathbb{R}^{2k})^*$ is called compatible with J (or J -hermitian), if

$$\omega(J\cdot, J\cdot) = \omega(\cdot, \cdot).$$

Thus, equivalently, ω is J -hermitian if and only if $J \in \text{Sp}(\omega)$. If ω is J -hermitian and the associated symmetric bilinear form $g_{\omega, J} = \omega(J\cdot, \cdot)$ is positive definite then ω is called a *Kähler form* for J .

4.1.1 Complex structures with common Kähler form

We define

$$\mathfrak{D}_{\omega}^+ = \{J \in \mathfrak{D} \mid \omega \text{ is a Kähler form for } J\},$$

to be the set of all complex structures which admit ω as Kähler form. Note that $\mathfrak{D}_{\omega}^+$ is non-empty and $\text{Sp}(\omega)$ acts on $\mathfrak{D}_{\omega}^+$ by conjugation. In fact, this action is transitive:

Proposition 4.3. *Let $J_0 \in \mathfrak{D}_{\omega}^+$ be a complex structure which has Kähler form ω , and let $J \in \mathfrak{D}$. Then $J \in \mathfrak{D}_{\omega}^+$ if and only if there exists $A \in \text{Sp}(\omega)$ such that $J = AJ_0A^{-1}$.*

This is a consequence of Lemma 4.5 below. We obtain

Corollary 4.4. *Let $J \in \mathfrak{D}_{\omega}^+$. There is a bijective correspondence*

$$\begin{array}{c} \mathfrak{S} := \text{Sp}(\omega) / (\text{Sp}(\omega) \cap \text{GL}(J)) \\ \updownarrow \\ \mathfrak{D}_{\omega}^+ \end{array}$$

Proof. The claimed correspondence is established by the map

$$[A] \in \mathfrak{S} \rightarrow AJA^{-1}. \quad (10)$$

The correspondence is bijective by Proposition 4.3. $\square$

Note that $\mathrm{Sp}(\omega) \cap \mathrm{GL}(J)$ is the unitary group of the associated hermitian form $g_{\omega,J}$. It is a maximal compact subgroup of $\mathrm{Sp}(\omega)$, since $J \in \mathfrak{D}_\omega^+$. The space $\mathfrak{S}$ is customarily called the Siegel upper half plane, and it is a Riemannian symmetric space in the sense of É. Cartan (cf. [8]).

4.1.2 Conjugacy classes in $\mathrm{Sp}(\omega)$

Let $J \in \mathrm{Sp}(\omega)$. We put $\mathrm{sign}(\omega, J)$ for the signature of $g_{\omega,J}$. The signature classifies the conjugacy classes of complex structures $J \in \mathrm{Sp}(\omega)$.

Lemma 4.5. *Let J, J' be complex structures such that J and J' are in $\mathrm{Sp}(\omega)$. Then $\mathrm{sign}(\omega, J) = \mathrm{sign}(\omega, J')$ if and only if there exists $A \in \mathrm{Sp}(\omega)$ such that $J' = AJA^{-1}$.*

Proof. Clearly, $\mathrm{sign}(\omega, J) = \mathrm{sign}(\omega, J')$ if $J' = AJA^{-1}$, for some $A \in \mathrm{Sp}(\omega)$. We show now that J and J' are conjugate in $\mathrm{Sp}(\omega)$ if $\mathrm{sign}(\omega, J) = \mathrm{sign}(\omega, J')$. We choose $B \in \mathrm{GL}(2k, \mathbb{R})$ such that $J' = BJB^{-1}$. Then

$$(B^{-1})^*\omega(\cdot, \cdot) := \omega(B^{-1}\cdot, B^{-1}\cdot)$$

defines a compatible symplectic form for J' . Since

$$g_{(B^{-1})^*\omega, J'} = (B^{-1})^*g_{\omega, J},$$

we have $\mathrm{sign}(J', (B^{-1})^*\omega) = \mathrm{sign}(J', \omega)$. By the existence of a unitary basis, all hermitian symmetric forms for J' of the same signature are equivalent under a J' -linear transformation. Hence, there exists $\tilde{B} \in \mathrm{GL}(2k, J')$, such that $(B^{-1})^*\omega = \tilde{B}^*\omega$. Now we have

$$(\tilde{B}B)^*\omega = B^*(\tilde{B}^*\omega) = B^*((B^{-1})^*\omega) = \omega.$$

Thus, $\tilde{B}B \in \mathrm{Sp}(\omega)$. Since $J' = \tilde{B}J\tilde{B}^{-1} = \tilde{B}BJ(\tilde{B}B)^{-1}$, our claim follows. $\square$

4.2 The cone of Kähler forms with respect to G

Let $\Omega \subset \bigwedge^2(\mathbb{R}^{2k})^*$ be the set of all non-degenerate two forms. Then Ω is an open cone in the vector space $\bigwedge^2(\mathbb{R}^{2k})^*$. For a subgroup $G \leq \mathrm{GL}(2k, \mathbb{R})$, we let $\left(\bigwedge^2(\mathbb{R}^{2k})^*\right)^G$ denote the vector space of G -invariant two forms. We then define

$$\Omega(G) = \Omega \cap \left(\bigwedge^2(\mathbb{R}^{2k})^*\right)^G.$$

Let $J \in \mathfrak{D}$ be a complex structure. If G is a finite (or compact) subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(J)$, there always exists a non-degenerate G -invariant two-form:

Lemma 4.6. *Let $G \leq \mathrm{GL}(J)$ be a finite subgroup. Then there exists a G -invariant Kähler form ω for J .*

Proof. Let ω' be a Kähler form for J . Since G is finite, we may find (by averaging ω' over G) a G -invariant Kähler form ω . $\square$

In particular, if G is finite the cone $\Omega(G)$ is a non-empty and open subset in the vector space $\left(\bigwedge^2(\mathbb{R}^{2k})^*\right)^G$.

Lemma 4.7. *Let $\omega \in \Omega(G)$. If G is finite then there exists a G -invariant complex structure $J \in \mathfrak{D}(G)$ which has Kähler form ω .*

Proof. By our assumption $G \leq \mathrm{Sp}(\omega)$. Then G acts by conjugation on the space $\mathfrak{S} = \mathfrak{D}(G)_\omega$ of complex structures with Kähler form ω , as is described in Corollary 4.4. By Cartan's theorem (see §4.4), G has a fixed point J in $\mathfrak{D}(G)_\omega$. $\square$

We call $\Omega(G)$ the cone of Kähler forms for G .

4.2.1 Rational points in $\Omega(G)$

Next let

$$\Omega(G)(\mathbb{Q}) = \Omega(G) \cap \bigwedge^2(\mathbb{Q}^{2k})^*$$

denote the set of rational points in $\Omega(G)$. We remark

Lemma 4.8. *Let $G \leq \mathrm{GL}(2k, \mathbb{Q}) \cap \mathrm{GL}(J)$ be a finite subgroup. Then the set of rational points $\Omega(G)(\mathbb{Q})$ is dense in $\Omega(G)$. In particular, $\Omega(G)(\mathbb{Q})$ is non-empty.*

Proof. Since $G \leq \mathrm{GL}(2k, \mathbb{Z})$, the vector subspace $\left(\bigwedge^2(\mathbb{R}^{2k})^*\right)^G$ of $\bigwedge^2(\mathbb{R}^{2k})^*$ is defined by rational equations, and it has a $\mathbb{Q}$ -structure given by the vector space of rational solutions $\left(\bigwedge^2(\mathbb{Q}^{2k})^*\right)^G$. $\square$

This proves, in particular:

Proposition 4.9. *Let $G \leq \mathrm{GL}(2k, \mathbb{Z})$ be a finite subgroup, which has an invariant complex structure J . Then there exists $A \in \mathrm{GL}(2k, \mathbb{Q})$ such that $A G A^{-1} \leq \mathrm{Sp}(2k, \mathbb{Q})$.*

We are ready now for the

Proof of Theorem 1.9. The equivalence of 1. and 3. is a consequence of Theorem 1.10. The implication from 1. to 2. is implied by the previous Proposition 4.9, and its converse by Lemma 4.7. $\square$

Kähler cones and polarisations Let $H^{1,1}(J, \mathbb{R})$ denote the vector subspace of J -hermitian forms in $\bigwedge^2(\mathbb{R}^{2k})^*$. The set of Kähler forms $\kappa(J)$ for J is an open convex cone in $H^{1,1}(J, \mathbb{R})$, which is called the Kähler cone for J . Consider

$$H^{1,1}(J, \mathbb{R})^G = H^{1,1}(J, \mathbb{R}) \cap \left(\bigwedge^2(\mathbb{R}^{2k})^* \right)^G$$

we then call

$$\kappa(J, G) = \kappa(J) \cap H^{1,1}(J, \mathbb{R})^G$$

the Kähler cone for J and G . (It is, in fact, the Kähler cone $\kappa(X_G)$ of X_G .) Note that the complex structure J admits a polarisation if and only if $\kappa(J, G)$ contains a rational point, that is, if

$$\kappa(J, G) \cap \bigwedge^2(\mathbb{Q}^{2k})^* \neq \emptyset.$$

By Lemma 4.7, we have that

$$\Omega(G) = \bigcup_{J \in \mathfrak{D}(G)} \kappa(J, G).$$

Therefore, we may deduce from Lemma 4.8:

Corollary 4.10. *Let $G \leq \mathrm{GL}(J)$ be a finite subgroup. Then there exists $J' \in \mathfrak{D}(G)$ such that $\kappa(J', G)$ has a rational point.*

In the remainder of this section, we shall show that such J' may be obtained by a continuous deformation in $\mathfrak{D}(G)$ starting from J .

4.3 Lifting of curves from $\Omega(G)$ to $\mathfrak{D}(G)$

Let $J \in \mathfrak{D}$ be a complex structure, and let $\omega \in \Omega$ be a Kähler form for J . We may lift curves in Ω starting in ω to curves in $\mathfrak{D}(G)$, starting in J :

Lemma 4.11. *Let $\bar{\omega} = (\omega_t) : I \rightarrow \Omega$ be a continuous curve of non-degenerate two-forms, and let $J \in \mathfrak{D}_{\omega_0}^+$. Then there exists a continuous curve $\bar{J} = (J_t) : I \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}$, with $J_0 = J$, such that ω_t is a Kähler form for J_t .*

Proof. Note that the transitive action of $\mathrm{GL}(2k, \mathbb{R})$ on Ω gives an identification

$$\Omega = \mathrm{GL}(2k, \mathbb{R}) / \mathrm{Sp}(\omega_0).$$

We may thus consider a lift (horizontal lift of the corresponding $\mathrm{Sp}(\omega_0)$ -principal bundle) of $\bar{\omega}$, to obtain a continuous curve $\bar{A} = (A_t) : I \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(2k, \mathbb{R})$ such that

$$\omega_t = (A_t)^* \omega_0.$$

Now let J_0 be any complex structure, which has ω_0 as a Kähler form. Then

$$J_t = A_t^{-1} J_0 A_t$$

defines the desired lift of ω_t to complex structures. $\square$

We now provide a lifting construction for curves in $\Omega(G)$.

Lemma 4.12. *Let $\bar{\omega} = (\omega_t) : I \rightarrow \Omega(G)$ be a continuous curve of non-degenerate G -invariant two-forms, and let $J \in \mathfrak{D}(G)$, such that ω_0 is a Kähler form for J . Then there exists a continuous curve $\bar{J} = (J_t) : I \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}(G)$ of G -invariant complex structures, with $J_0 = J$, such that ω_t is a Kähler form for J_t .*

Proof. Write $\omega_t = A_t^* \omega_0$, as in the proof of Lemma 4.11. Then consider

$$\mu_t : I \rightarrow \text{Hom}(G, \text{Sp}(\omega_0)) ,$$

which is given by $\mu_t(g) = A_t g A_t^{-1}$, $g \in G$. Note here, since

$$G \subset \text{Sp}(\omega_t) = A_t^{-1} \text{Sp}(\omega_0) A_t ,$$

we have that

$$\mu_t(G) \subset \text{Sp}(\omega_0) .$$

Now let

$$\bar{C} = (C_t) : I \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}_{\omega_0}^+$$

be the continuous curve of barycenters for $\mu_t(G)J$, with $C_0 = J$, as is constructed in Proposition 4.14. The corresponding complex structures C_t are $\mu_t(G)$ -invariant, and have Kähler form ω_0 . Then it is clear that

$$J_t = A_t^{-1} C_t A_t$$

is a family of complex structures, such that $J_t \in \mathfrak{D}(G)$ and ω_t is a Kähler form for J_t . (Remark that J_t is actually the G -barycenter of $A_t^{-1} J_0 A_t$ in $\mathfrak{D}_{\omega_t}$.) $\square$

4.3.1 Deformation to polarisable complex structures

We are now ready for the

Proof of Proposition 4.1. Choose $\omega_0 \in \Omega(G)$, such that ω_0 is a Kähler form for J . Let $\omega \in \Omega(G)(\mathbb{Q})$ be a rational two-form near ω_0 , and $\bar{\omega} = (\omega_t) : I \rightarrow \Omega(G)$ a continuous path in $\Omega(G)$, such that $\omega_1 = \omega$. Then define $\bar{J} = (J_t)$ to be the lift of $\bar{\omega}$, with $J_0 = J$, as in Lemma 4.12. $\square$

4.3.2 Density of polarisable complex structures

We may slightly refine the proof of Proposition 4.1 to obtain:

Proposition 4.13. *The set of polarisable complex structures $\mathfrak{D}(G)_p$ is dense in $\mathfrak{D}(G)$.*

Proof. Let $J_0 \in \mathfrak{D}(G)$ with Kähler form ω_0 . Let $\mathfrak{D}(G) \cap U$ be a neighbourhood of J_0 , where U is open in $\mathfrak{D}$. We show that $\mathfrak{D}(G)_p \cap U \neq \emptyset$ as follows:

i) Consider the action of $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$ on $\mathfrak{D}$ by conjugation. Choose neighbourhoods W of $1 \in \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$ and $U_1 \subset \mathfrak{D}$ of J_0 such that $WU_1 \subset U$.

ii) By continuity of the symmetric space distance function d on $\mathfrak{D}_{\omega_0}^+$ (cf. §4.4), choose a metric ball $U_\epsilon = B_\epsilon(J_0) \subset \mathfrak{D}_{\omega_0}^+$ with diameter r such that the ball $B_{r+\epsilon}(J_0)$ is contained in U_1 .

iii) For $g \in G$, consider the map $\pi_g : \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}, A \mapsto AgA^{-1}J_0Ag^{-1}A^{-1}$. Since $J_0 \in \mathfrak{D}(G)$, $\pi_g(1) = J_0$. Choose an open neighbourhood $W_\epsilon \subset W$ of $1 \in \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$ such that, for all $g \in G$, $\pi_g(W_\epsilon) \cap \mathfrak{D}_{\omega_0}^+ \subset U_\epsilon$.

iv) Consider the quotient map $\pi_\Omega : \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \Omega$, where $\pi_\Omega(1) = \omega_0$. Since π_Ω is locally a projection, we may assume that $W_\epsilon = Z \times V_\epsilon$, where $V_\epsilon \subset \Omega$ is a neighbourhood of ω_0 and Z is a neighbourhood of the identity in $\mathrm{Sp}(\omega_0)$.

Now let $\omega_1 \in V_\epsilon \cap \Omega(G)(\mathbb{Q})$ be a rational form, and join ω_0 and ω_1 by a path $(\omega_t) : I \rightarrow \Omega(G) \cap V_\epsilon$. Using iv), we have a lift $(A_t) : I \rightarrow V_\epsilon \subset W_\epsilon$. Let $\mu_t(G) = A_tGA_t^{-1}$. Then the orbit $\mu_t(G)J_0$ is contained in $\mathfrak{D}_{\omega_0}^+$. It follows by iii) that $\mu_t(G)J_0 \subset U_\epsilon$. Using the remark following Proposition 4.14 it follows from ii) that the associated curve of barycenters $\bar{C}$ is contained in U_1 . Moreover, since $A_t \in W$, it follows by i) that the curve of G -invariant complex structures $J_t : I \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}(G)$ is contained in U . Therefore, $J_1 \in U \cap \mathfrak{D}(G)$ is polarisable with Kähler form ω_1 . $\square$

4.4 The barycenters of a continuous deformation

Let G/K be a symmetric space, where G is a real semisimple Lie group with finite center, and K is a maximal compact subgroup. The following fact is due to É. Cartan (see [8][Chapter I, Theorem 13.5]): Let $\mu \leq G$ be a finite (or compact) subgroup. Then there exists a point $s \in G/K$ such that $\mu s = s$.

We shall need the following refinement of Cartan's result.

Proposition 4.14. *Let $\varphi_t : I \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(\mu, G)$ be a continuous deformation, where $\varphi_0(g) = g$, for all $g \in \mu$. Let $q \in G/K$ be a fixed point for μ . Then there exists a canonical continuous curve $\bar{q} = (q_t) : I \rightarrow G/K$ with $\varphi_t(\mu)q_t = q_t$, and $q_0 = q$.*

For the proof of Proposition 4.14, we need two elementary lemmata:

Lemma 4.15. *Let X be a compact metric space, and $f : I \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a continuous function, where $I = [0, 1]$. For $t \in I$, define*

$$\bar{f}(t) = \min\{f(t, q) \mid q \in X\}.$$

Then $\bar{f} : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous.

Proof. As an infimum of continuous functions, $\bar{f}$ is upper semi-continuous. It remains to show that $\bar{f}$ is lower semi-continuous. That is, we show that given any sequence $t_m \rightarrow t$, $\liminf \bar{f}(t_m) \geq \bar{f}(t)$. Assume to the contrary that $\lim \bar{f}(t_m) < \bar{f}(t)$, for some sequence $t_m \rightarrow t$. We use the fact, that there exists a subsequence converging to $\liminf$. Since the minimum of $f(t_m, \cdot)$ is

assumed on X , there exist $q_m \in X$ such that $f(t_m, q_m) = \bar{f}(t_m)$. By compactness of X , we may therefore also assume that $q_m \rightarrow p \in X$. By continuity of f , $\bar{f}(t_m) = f(t_m, q_m) \rightarrow f(t, p) \geq \bar{f}(t)$. A contradiction. $\square$

Lemma 4.16. *With the assumptions of Lemma 4.15, assume that the minimum $\bar{f}(t)$ is attained at a unique point $q_t \in X$. Then the curve $t \rightarrow q_t$ is continuous.*

Proof. It is clearly enough to show that every sequence $t_m \rightarrow t$, has a subsequence s_m such that $q_{s_m} \rightarrow q_t$. By Lemma 4.15, $\bar{f}$ is continuous. Therefore, $\bar{f}(t_m, q_{t_m}) = \bar{f}(t_m) \rightarrow \bar{f}(t) = f(t, q_t)$. Since X is compact, there exists a subsequence s_m , such that $q_{s_m} \rightarrow p \in X$. By continuity of f , $f(t, p) = \bar{f}(t)$, and, therefore, $f(t, \cdot)$ attains its minimum at p . Since, by our assumption, the minimum point is unique, we conclude that $p = q_t$. Therefore, we have $q_{s_m} \rightarrow q_t$. $\square$

Proof of Proposition 4.14. Let d denote the distance function on the symmetric space G/K . By [8][Chapter I, Theorem 13.5]), given $p_0 \in X$, the continuous function

$$f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, f(q) = \sum_{g \in \mu} d^2(q, gp_0)$$

attains its minimum at a *unique* point $q_0 \in G/K$ (the barycenter of the orbit μp_0). Moreover, $q_0 \in C$, where C is a compact ball around p_0 containing μp_0 . Therefore, the function

$$f(t, q) = \sum_{g \in \mu} d^2(q, \varphi_t(g)p_0)$$

satisfies the assumptions of the above two lemmata. Then the minimum point q_t for $\bar{f}_t$ is the barycenter for the orbit $\varphi_t(\mu)p_0$, and q_t is a fixed point for $\varphi_t(\mu)$. Thus, by Lemma 4.16, the curve of barycenters $t \rightarrow q_t$ is continuous. Choosing, $p_0 = q$, we obtain $q_0 = q$, as required. $\square$

Remark The ball $C = C(p_0)$, which appears in the proof may be chosen as follows. Let $B_r(p_0)$ be a metric ball of radius r , which contains the orbit μp_0 , and let ℓ denote its diameter. Then $f(q) > f(p_0)$, for all $q \notin B_{r+\ell}(p_0)$. Thus, we may take C to be the closure of $B_{r+\ell}(p_0)$.

5 Fields of definition

As we have seen, the set of polarisable complex structures $\mathcal{D}_p(G)$ is dense in the space of all G -invariant complex structures $\mathcal{D}(G)$. In the following, we explicitly construct points in $\mathcal{D}_p(G)$ which have additional symmetry. For this, we employ the approach used by Johnson in [9] (also implicit in [19]). As an advantage, we can deduce information about the fields of definition of the abelian varieties, which appear in the constructions in section 3. This leads us to the proof of Theorem 1.6

5.1 Preliminaries

We collect some basic material from the theory of abelian varieties. Our principal references are [11] and [23].

Field of definition for an abelian variety Let $T = \mathbb{C}^g / \Lambda$ be a complex torus, and

$$\text{End}(T) := \{f \in \text{End}(\mathbb{C}^g) \mid f\Lambda \subset \Lambda\}$$

the endomorphism ring of T . Recall that T is called an abelian variety if it embeds holomorphically as a subvariety of a projective space. An abelian variety defined over a subfield k of $\mathbb{C}$ is a complex projective variety X defined over k , which has an algebraic group structure defined over k . If T is biholomorphic to an abelian variety X defined over k , then we will say that T is defined over k . Correspondingly, the endomorphism ring $\text{End}(T)$ of T is said to be defined over k if its elements correspond to k -defined algebraic automorphisms of X .

The quotient of a projective variety by a finite group Let X be a complex projective variety defined over a subfield k of $\mathbb{C}$. We let $\text{Aut}_k(X)$ denote the group of k -defined automorphisms of X .

Lemma 5.1. *Let Z be a complex projective variety defined over k and let $G \subset \text{Aut}_k(Z)$ be a finite subgroup. Then the space of orbits Z/G admits the structure of a k -defined projective variety, and $Z \rightarrow Z/G$ is a finite morphism defined over k .*

Proof. For the standard proof see [18, §13] or [23, Ch. 4.3, Prop 16]. We briefly sketch a more specialised argument which works in the context of projective varieties. Let R be the homogeneous coordinate ring of Z . Then $R = R_k \otimes \mathbb{C}$, where R_k is a finitely generated graded k -algebra. According to Noether's theorem, the algebra of invariants R_k^G is a finitely generated graded k -algebra. It follows that $R^G = R_k^G \otimes \mathbb{C}$ is a finitely generated $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra with k -structure. To any such algebra A one can assign a scheme, called $\text{proj}(A)$, such that $\text{proj}(A)$ is a projective variety defined over k . See [15, p. 282]. Then $Z/G = \text{proj}(R^G)$ is the desired quotient variety. $\square$

Note that $\pi : Z \rightarrow Z/G$ is a quotient in the categorical sense. This means, given any k -defined morphism $f : Z \rightarrow Y$, where Y is a variety defined over k , and f is constant on G -orbits, there exists a unique k -defined morphism $\bar{f} : Z/G \rightarrow Y$ such that $f = \bar{f}\pi$.

Abelian varieties with complex multiplication A number field F is called a CM-field, if F is a totally imaginary quadratic extension of a totally real number field E . In particular, we have $[F : \mathbb{Q}] = 2g$ for $g = [E : \mathbb{Q}]$ and $F = E(a)$ for a totally imaginary $a \in F$ with $a^2 \in E$. A choice of g non conjugate embeddings $\varphi_i : F \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $i = 1, \dots, g$, is called a CM-type. It gives rise to an embedding $\varphi = (\varphi_i)_{i=1, \dots, g} : F \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^g$ which extends to an isomorphism $\Phi : F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^g$.

Let T be a complex torus, and $End_{\mathbb{Q}}(T) := End(T) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$. Then T is said to have complex multiplication by a CM-field F , if there exists an embedding $\iota : F \rightarrow End_{\mathbb{Q}}(T)$ such that $2g = 2dim(T)$.

For any CM-field F one can construct an abelian variety with complex multiplication by F . Let Λ be a lattice in F . Then $\Phi(\Lambda) \subset \mathbb{C}^g$ is a lattice in $\mathbb{C}^g$ and

$$X_F := \mathbb{C}^g / \Phi(\Lambda)$$

is a complex torus with $F \subset End_{\mathbb{Q}}(X_F)$. Since X_F admits a polarisation (see [11, Thm. 4.1] or [23, Ch. 6]), it is an abelian variety with complex multiplication by F . Since X_F has complex multiplication by a CM-field F , X_F and $End(X_F)$ may be defined over an algebraic number field k (see [11, Ch.5; Prop. 1.1] or [23]).

5.2 $\mathbb{Q}[G]$ -modules with complex multiplication

Let G be a finite group and let V be a finite dimensional $\mathbb{Q}[G]$ -module. If there exists a homomorphism of rings $F \rightarrow End_{\mathbb{Q}[G]}(V)$, where F is a CM-field, we say that V has complex multiplication by F .

Proposition 5.2. *Let V be a $\mathbb{Q}[G]$ -module, which has complex multiplication by F . Then there exists a complex structure J_F on $V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}$, such that $F \subset End(V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}, J_F)$ and $J_F \in End_{\mathbb{R}[G]}(V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R})$.*

Proof. Choose a CM-type for F . By pulling back via $\Phi : F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^g$ we obtain a complex structure on $F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}$. Since V is an F -module, $V \cong F^m$, for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$. We let J_F denote the product complex structure on $V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}$. Then $F \subset End(V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}, J_F)$.

Write $F = E(a)$, as above, and put $b = a^2 \in E$. Let $E_i := \varphi_i(E)$. Note that b acts on $F \otimes_{E_i} \mathbb{R}$ by scalar multiplication with $\varphi_i(b)$. We have a G -invariant decomposition $V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^g V \otimes_{E_i} \mathbb{R}$. With respect to this decomposition J_F acts as multiplication with $a \otimes \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varphi_i(b)}}$ on each factor. Since $a \in End_{\mathbb{Q}[G]}(V)$, it follows that J_F is G -invariant. $\square$

If V is an irreducible $\mathbb{Q}[G]$ -module then $D = End_{\mathbb{Q}[G]}(V)$ is a finite dimensional division algebra. Moreover, this division algebra admits a positive involution [9, Proof of Prop. 3.2]. By a classification of Albert either D contains a CM-field F or D is a totally real division algebra. (See [9, §1; §3; Prop. 3.1], [22] and [1]). In the latter case, $End_{\mathbb{Q}[G]}(V \oplus V)$ has complex multiplication by a CM-field F . This has the following consequence:

Proposition 5.3. *Let V be a $\mathbb{Q}[G]$ -module such that $V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}$ admits a G -invariant complex structure. Then there exists a decomposition of V into G -submodules W_j , such that W_j admits complex multiplication by a CM-field F_j .*

Proof. In fact, $V = \bigoplus V_i$ where V_i are irreducible $\mathbb{Q}[G]$ -modules. Since $V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}$ admits a G -invariant complex structure J , the multiplicity of the V_i with totally real centraliser $End_{\mathbb{Q}[G]}(V_i)$ is even in V . $\square$

5.3 Kummer varieties

Let $\Lambda \subset V$ be a lattice, and J a complex structure on $V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}$. We denote by

$$T_{\Lambda, J} := (V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}, J) / \Lambda$$

the associated complex torus.

Proposition 5.4. *Let V be a $\mathbb{Q}[G]$ -module, which has complex multiplication by F . Let $\Lambda \subset V$ be a G -invariant lattice. Then the associated complex torus T_{Λ, J_F} and its endomorphism ring $\text{End}(T_{\Lambda, J_F})$ may be defined over an algebraic number field k . In particular, the induced linear action of G on T_{Λ, J_F} is defined over k .*

Proof. As in the proof of Proposition 5.2, write V as a sum of 1-dimensional F -vector subspaces F_j . By construction, $F_j \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}$ is a J_F -subspace of $V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}$. Let $\Lambda_j = \Lambda \cap F_j$. Then $\tilde{\Lambda} = \bigoplus_{j=1}^m \Lambda_j$ is a lattice in V , which is contained in Λ . Define $X_j = T_{\Lambda_j, J_F}$. Then X_j is an abelian variety with complex multiplication by F , and

$$X_{\tilde{\Lambda}} = \bigoplus_{j=1}^m X_j$$

decomposes as a direct product of abelian varieties, which are defined over an algebraic number field. Therefore, $X_{\tilde{\Lambda}}$ and its endomorphism ring $\text{End}(X)_{\tilde{\Lambda}}$ may be defined over an algebraic number field k_0 .

Consider the covering $X_{\tilde{\Lambda}} \rightarrow X_{\Lambda}$. Since the elements of the finite kernel are algebraic over $\mathbb{Q}^a$, X_{Λ} and the covering map may be defined over an algebraic number field k (using the quotient lemma), k containing k_0 . Since $G \subset \text{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X_{\tilde{\Lambda}})$, there exists an $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $\ell g \in \text{End}(X_{\tilde{\Lambda}})$, and, therefore, the linear action of ℓg on $X_{\tilde{\Lambda}}$ is defined over k_0 . Hence we get a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_{\tilde{\Lambda}} & \xrightarrow{\ell g} & X_{\tilde{\Lambda}} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X_{\Lambda} & \xrightarrow{\ell g} & X_{\Lambda} \end{array},$$

where the upper and the downward maps are k -defined. By the universal property of the quotient, $\ell g \in \text{End}_k(X_{\Lambda})$. By the Galois-criterion for rationality, it follows that also $g \in \text{End}_k(X_{\Lambda})$. $\square$

Thus, the following is a consequence of Proposition 5.3.

Proposition 5.5. *Let V be a $\mathbb{Q}[G]$ -module, such that $V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}$ admits a G -invariant complex structure. Let $\Lambda \leq V$ be a G -invariant lattice. Then there exists a complex structure $J \in \mathcal{D}(G)_p$ such that the complex torus $T_{\Lambda, J}$ and its endomorphism ring $\text{End}(T_{\Lambda, J})$ may be defined over an algebraic number field k .*

Note, as follows from the quotient lemma, that the geometric quotient

$$K = T_{\Lambda, J} / G$$

attains the structure of a k -defined projective variety. It is called a Kummer-variety for G .

Combining the last result with the proof of Proposition 3.2 we arrive at:

Proposition 5.6. *Let Γ be a virtually abelian Kähler group. Then there exists a non-singular complex projective variety X defined over a number field k with $\pi_1(X) = \Gamma$.*

Proof. Let Γ be such a Kähler group, and $\Lambda \cong \mathbb{Z}^k$ a normal subgroup with $G = \Gamma/\Lambda$. The characteristic representation μ of G turns $V = \Lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ into a $\mathbb{Q}[G]$ -module. Since Γ is Kähler, $V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}$ has a G -invariant complex structure. We remark that Γ can be mapped homomorphically to $V \rtimes_{\mu} G$ (analogously as in (6) and (7)).

As shown in Proposition 3.2, there exists a complex manifold X with $\pi_1(X) = \Gamma$, such that

$$\begin{array}{c} \tilde{X} = \mathbb{C}^k \times \tilde{Y} \\ \downarrow \\ T \times \tilde{Y} \\ \downarrow \\ X = (T \times \tilde{Y})/G \end{array}$$

are holomorphic coverings, where $T = \mathbb{C}^k/\Lambda$ is a complex torus. Note that G acts on T by affine transformations. The linear part of the action is given by the representation $\mu : G \rightarrow \text{End}(T)$. Using Proposition 5.5, we may assume in the construction that $T = T_{\Lambda,J}$ is an abelian variety defined over an algebraic number field k_0 , such that the linear parts of G act by elements in $\text{Aut}_{k_0}(T)$. Moreover, as remarked above, since Γ splits over $\mathbb{Q}$, the translation parts of the G -action may be assumed to be contained in the torsion group of $T_{\Lambda,J}$. Therefore, there exists an algebraic number field k , such that G act by elements in $\text{Aut}_k(T)$.

Note now that $\tilde{Y}$ is also a complex projective variety defined over $\mathbb{Q}$, with $G \subset \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\tilde{Y})$, see the proofs given in [18] or [20]. By Lemma 5.1, X is a projective variety, which may be defined over the number field k . $\square$

References

- [1] Abraham Adrian Albert. *Structure of algebras*. Colloquium publications / American Mathematical Society ; 24. American Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1964.
- [2] J. Amorós, M. Burger, K. Corlette, D. Kotschick, and D. Toledo. *Fundamental groups of compact Kähler manifolds*, volume 44 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1996.

- [3] Oliver Baues and Vicente Cortés. Aspherical Kähler manifolds with solvable fundamental group. *Geom. Dedicata*, 122:215–229, 2006.
- [4] Ch. Birkenhake, V. González, and H. Lange. Automorphism groups of 3-dimensional complex tori. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 508:99–125, 1999.
- [5] Christina Birkenhake and Herbert Lange. *Complex Abelian varieties*. Springer Verlag, 2., augmented edition, 2004.
- [6] Kenneth S. Brown. *Cohomology of groups*. Springer Verlag, 1982.
- [7] Akira Fujiki. Finite automorphism groups of complex tori of dimension two. *Publ.RIMS,Kyoto Univ*, 24:1–97, 1988.
- [8] Sigurdur Helgason. *Differential geometry, Lie groups, and symmetric spaces*. Academic Press, Inc., 1978.
- [9] F.E.A. Johnson. Flat algebraic manifolds. Geometry of low-dimensional manifolds. 1: Gauge theory and algebraic surfaces, Proc. Symp., Durham/UK 1989, Lond. Math. Soc. Lect. Note Ser. 150, 73-91 (1990), 1990.
- [10] K. Kodaira and D. C. Spencer. On deformations of complex analytic structures. I, II. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 67:328–466, 1958.
- [11] Serge Lang. *Complex multiplication*. Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften in Einzeldarstellungen ; 255. Springer, Berlin, 1983.
- [12] Saunders Mac Lane. *Homology*. Springer Verlag, 1995.
- [13] William Schumacher Massey. *Singular homology theory*. Springer Verlag, 1980.
- [14] David Mumford. *Abelian varieties*. Oxford Univ. Pr., 2. ed. edition, 1974.
- [15] David Mumford. *The red book of varieties and schemes*. Lecture notes in mathematics ; 1358. Springer, Berlin, 1988.
- [16] Vladimir L. Popov and Yuri G. Zarhin. Finite linear groups, lattices, and products of elliptic curves. *J. Algebra*, 305(1):562–576, 2006.
- [17] Johannes Riesterer. Kählergruppen und endliche Erweiterungen von $\mathbb{Z}^n$. Diploma thesis, Universität Karlsruhe, 2007.
- [18] Jean-Pierre Serre. Sur la topologie des variétés algébriques en caractéristique p . *Sympos. internac. Topología algebraica 24-53 (1958)*, 1958.
- [19] Jean-Pierre Serre. Exemples de variétés projectives conjuguées non homéomorphes. *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris*, 258:4194–4196, 1964.
- [20] I. R. Shafarevich. *Basic algebraic geometry*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1974. Translated from the Russian by K. A. Hirsch, Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band 213.

- [21] Yuji Shimizu and Kenji Ueno. *Advances in moduli theory*, volume 206 of *Translations of Mathematical Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2002. Translated from the 1999 Japanese original, Iwanami Series in Modern Mathematics.
- [22] Goro Shimura. On analytic families of polarized abelian varieties and automorphic functions. *Ann. of Math.*, 78:149–192, 1963.
- [23] Goro Shimura and Yutaka Taniyama. *Complex multiplication of Abelian varieties and its applications to number theory*. Publications / Mathematical Society of Japan ; 6. Math. Soc. of Japan, Tokyo, 1961.
- [24] Claire Voisin. *Hodge theory and complex algebraic geometry*. Cambridge University Press, 2002.
- [25] Raymond O. Wells. *Differential analysis on complex manifolds*. Springer Verlag, 1980.
- [26] Joseph A. Wolf. *Spaces of constant curvature*. MacGraw-Hill, 1967.
- [27] Heiner Zieschang. *Finite groups of mapping classes of surfaces*. Springer Verlag, 1981.